| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/626,229 | REUBI, JEAN-CLAUDE |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Audrey S. Pham | 1642 |
| l Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Audrey S. Pham</u> . | (3) Kevin Kercher. | |
| (2) <u>Charles Romano</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | |
| Claims discussed: Claim 12 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant) | 'Applicant's Representative Si | ignature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was contacted to discuss perfecting the restriction requirement, in which the Office informs Applicant it intends to treat Claim 12 as a linking claim from which the species are represented by SEQ ID NOs: 13-14, 19-23. Applicant was informed that should Claim 12 be found free of the art, then the restriction requirement will be removed and all the species will be considered.